Informatica  An International Journal of Computing and Informatics

REVIEW FORM for RESEARCH PAPERS

Date of sending this form:

Date for returning this form:

Paper Author:

Paper Title:

General comment. Informatica is a scientific journal of computing and informatics, which includes telecommunication, automation and other related areas. We publish papers concerned with scientific and educational as well as technical, commercial and industrial developments in the computer science and informatics community.

Articles should be broadly comprehensible to readers with an engineering or scientific education, but an appropriate level of detail is also important.

You can help the author by suggesting specific improvements and by returning the review form and manuscript with detailed comments and corrections. You can help the editor by commenting on the technical significance and accuracy of the work and by indicating the thoroughness of your review.

We consider the role of the referees to be crucial to the quality of the papers. To help us with publishing the best possible papers, please provide as detailed comments to the following questions as possible. All of your comments about the paper will be returned to the author while you remain anonymous.

I. Detailed Review - please evaluate each category.

1. Does this paper represent important/new/original research?
2. Does the paper contain previously unpublished material?
3. Is the paper properly focused (too broad/too narrow)?
4. Is the length of the paper appropriate (too long/too short)?
5. What kind of background is presupposed?
6. Is the paper technically sound (free of technical, mathematical, logical or other faults or inconsistencies)? Please make the necessary corrections in the text or make suggestions on separate pages.
7. Does the paper contain an appropriate "state of the art" section?
8. Is there any technical information that is missing and needs to be added?
9. Are all referenced figures, tables and references contained in the text?
10. Is the bibliography complete and up-to-date? If not, what is missing?
11. Is the writing clear? Is the English correct? Please make suggestions in the text or make them on separate pages.
II. General evaluation of the paper (please use additional pages if necessary).

III. Recommendation. Please check the appropriate answer.

- Publish as is
- Publish with minor corrections or additions as noted
- Major changes and a second review are required
- Reject
How confident are you of your evaluation? Please circle your answer.

1  2  3  4  5  6  7
somewhat confident  confident  very confident

Do you consider yourself (please check the appropriate answer):

☐ a specialist in the area
☐ a competent professional in this area
☐ a professional with some knowledge of the area
☐ a non-specialist

Reviewer’s name ___________________________  Reviewer’s signature and date ________________

Do you have any additional comments for the journal editors?

The author’s right to unpublished manuscripts: The manuscript is a privileged document; the author retains the right to the unpublished work. A referee should not use the results or ideas obtained exclusively through the refereeing process in his/her research.

Please send this form (and possibly a copy of the manuscript), to the appropriate editor.